

ON THE FUNCTORIALITY OF THE SLICE FILTRATION

PABLO PELAEZ

ABSTRACT. Let k be a field with resolution of singularities, and X a separated k -scheme of finite type with structure map g . We show that the slice filtration in the motivic stable homotopy category commutes with pullback along g . Restricting the field further to the case of characteristic zero, we are able to compute the slices of Weibel's homotopy invariant K -theory [21] extending the result of Levine [8], and also the zero slice of the sphere spectrum extending the result of Levine [8] and Voevodsky [20]. We also show that the zero slice of the sphere spectrum is a strict cofibrant ring spectrum $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ which is stable under pullback and that all the slices have a canonical structure of strict modules over $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$. If we consider rational coefficients and assume that X is geometrically unibranch then relying on the work of Cisinski and Déglise [4], we get that the zero slice of the sphere spectrum is given by Voevodsky's rational motivic cohomology spectrum $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and that the slices have transfers. This proves several conjectures of Voevodsky [19, conjectures 1, 7, 10, 11].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14, 55.

Key words and phrases. K -theory, Mixed Motives, Motivic Atiyah-Hirzebruch Spectral Sequence, Slice Filtration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Noetherian separated scheme of finite Krull dimension, and $\mathcal{M}_X$ be the category of pointed simplicial presheaves in the smooth Nisnevich site Sm_X over X equipped with the motivic Quillen model structure [15] introduced in [12, theorem A.17]. We define T_X in $\mathcal{M}_X$ as the pointed simplicial presheaf represented by $S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$, where $\mathbb{G}_m$ is the multiplicative group $\mathbb{A}_X^1 - \{0\}$ pointed by 1, and S^1 denotes the simplicial circle. Let $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ denote Jardine's category of symmetric T_X -spectra on $\mathcal{M}_X$ equipped with the motivic model structure defined in [12, theorem A.38] and $\mathcal{SH}_X$ denote its homotopy category, which is triangulated.

The 2-functor

$$X \mapsto \mathcal{SH}_X$$

is homotopically stable in the sense of Ayoub [2, chapter 4] and in particular is equipped with the formalism of Grothendieck's six operations [1, scholium 1.4.2].

For every integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider the following family of symmetric T_X -spectra

$$C_{eff}^q(X) = \{F_n(S^r \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^s \wedge U_+) \mid n, r, s \geq 0; s - n \geq q; U \in Sm_X\}$$

where F_n is the left adjoint to the n -evaluation functor

$$ev_n : Spt(\mathcal{M}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$$

Voevodsky [19] defines the slice filtration as the following family of triangulated subcategories of $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$\dots \subseteq \Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \subseteq \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \subseteq \Sigma_T^{q-1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \subseteq \dots$$

where $\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$ is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ which contains $C_{eff}^q(X)$ and is closed under arbitrary coproducts.

It follows from the work of Neeman [10], [11] that the inclusion

$$i_q : \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff} \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

has a right adjoint $r_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$, and that the following functors

$$f_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

$$s_q : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

are exact, where f_q is defined as the composition $i_q \circ r_q$, and s_q is characterized by the fact that for every $E \in \mathcal{SH}_X$, we have the following distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$f_{q+1}E \xrightarrow{\rho_q^E} f_qE \xrightarrow{\pi_q^E} s_qE \longrightarrow \Sigma_T^{1,0} f_{q+1}E$$

We will refer to f_qE as the $(q-1)$ -connective cover of E , and to s_qE as the q -slice of E . It follows directly from the definition that the q -slice of E satisfies the following property:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(K, s_qE) = 0$$

for every symmetric T_X -spectrum K in $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$.

2. A GENERAL CRITERION

In this section $g : X \rightarrow Y$ will be a map of schemes, where X and Y are Noetherian, separated and of finite Krull dimension. Our goal is to introduce a general criterion which implies the compatibility between the slice filtration and pullback along g :

$$\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

Lemma 2.1. *For every integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $\mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_Y^{eff}) \subseteq \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$, i.e. the functor $\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$ respects connective objects.*

Proof. This follows directly from the fact that $g^*(T_Y) = T_X$. $\square$

It follows immediately from lemma 2.1 that for any integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a pair of natural transformations $\alpha_q : \mathbf{L}g^* \circ f_q \rightarrow f_q \circ \mathbf{L}g^*$, $\beta_q : \mathbf{L}g^* \circ s_q \rightarrow s_q \circ \mathbf{L}g^*$ such that for every $E \in \mathcal{SH}_Y$ the following diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_q^E)} & \mathbf{L}g^*(f_qE) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\pi_q^E)} & \mathbf{L}g^*(s_qE) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_{T_Y}^{1,0} f_{q+1}E) \\ \downarrow \alpha_{q+1}(E) & & \downarrow \alpha_q(E) & & \downarrow \beta_q(E) & & \downarrow \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0}(\alpha_{q+1}(E)) \\ f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^*E) & \xrightarrow{\rho_q^{\mathbf{L}g^*E}} & f_q(\mathbf{L}g^*E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_q^{\mathbf{L}g^*E}} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*E) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^*E) \end{array}$$

is commutative and its rows are distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{SH}_X$.

Definition 2.2. *We say that the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along g , if β_q is a natural isomorphism for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Definition 2.3. *Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_X$ be a symmetric T_X -spectrum and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that E is q -orthogonal with respect to the slice filtration in $\mathcal{SH}_X$, if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:*

- (1) $f_q E = 0$.
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(F, E) = 0$ for every $F \in \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$ generated by the symmetric T_X -spectra which are q -orthogonal with respect to the slice filtration in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. We have that $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)$ is a triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{SH}_X$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the fact that the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(A, -)$ is homological for every $A \in \mathcal{SH}_X$. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathbf{R}g_* : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_Y$ be the right adjoint of $\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$. Then the functor $\mathbf{R}g_*$ is compatible with the q -orthogonal objects with respect to the slice filtration, i.e.*

$$\mathbf{R}g_*(\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)) \subseteq \mathcal{SH}_Y^\perp(q)$$

Proof. It suffices to show that for every symmetric T_X -spectrum F in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ which is q -orthogonal with respect to the slice filtration, and for every symmetric T_Y -spectrum H in $\mathcal{SH}_Y$ which is in $\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_Y^{eff}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(H, \mathbf{R}g_* F) = 0$$

However, by adjointness

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(H, \mathbf{R}g_* F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\mathbf{L}g^* H, F)$$

on the other hand, lemma 2.1 implies that $\mathbf{L}g^*H \in \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(H, \mathbf{R}g_*F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\mathbf{L}g^*H, F) = 0$$

since F is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q)$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $E \in \mathcal{SH}_Y$ be a symmetric T_Y -spectrum and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. If the following condition holds:*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \in \mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$$

then the natural maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{q+1}(f_q E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E) &\longrightarrow f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_q E)) \\ \alpha_q(f_q E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(f_q f_q E) &\longrightarrow f_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_q E)) \\ \beta_q(f_q E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E) &\longrightarrow s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_q E)) \end{aligned}$$

are all isomorphisms in $\mathcal{SH}_X$.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram (2.1) for $f_q E$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(f_q f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\pi_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_{T_Y}^{1,0} f_{q+1}f_q E) \\ \alpha_{q+1}(f_q E) \downarrow & & \alpha_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \beta_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\rho_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & f_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \rightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) \end{array}$$

It follows from lemma 2.1 that $\alpha_q(f_q E)$ is an isomorphism. Using the octahedral axiom we get the following commutative diagram where all the rows and columns are distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{SH}_X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(f_q f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}g^*(\pi_q^{f_q E})} & \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{L}g^*(\Sigma_{T_Y}^{1,0} f_{q+1}f_q E) \\ \alpha_{q+1}(f_q E) \downarrow & & \alpha_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \beta_q(f_q E) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\rho_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & f_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \xrightarrow{\pi_q^{\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E}} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) & \rightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A \end{array}$$

Thus, it suffices to show that $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A \cong 0$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. It follows from lemma 2.1 that $\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{q+1}f_q E)$ is in $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$, and by construction $f_{q+1}(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E)$ is also in $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$. Hence, A and $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A$ are both in $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$.

On the other hand, by hypothesis $\mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q f_q E)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$; therefore, lemma 2.4 implies that $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$, since $s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* f_q E)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$ by construction.

We then have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A, \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A) = 0$$

and from this it follows at once that $\Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} A \cong 0$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$, as we wanted. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *If the condition (2.2) in lemma 2.6 holds for every symmetric T_Y -spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}_Y$ and for every integer $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along g , i.e. we have a natural isomorphism $\beta_\ell : \mathbf{L}g^* \circ s_\ell \rightarrow s_\ell \circ \mathbf{L}g^*$ for every $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let E be a symmetric T_Y -spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}_Y$ and fix an integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $E \cong \text{hocolim}_{p \leq q} f_p E$, and since $\mathbf{L}g^*$ and s_q commute with filtered homotopy colimits we have that $\beta_q(E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \rightarrow s_q(\mathbf{L}g^* E)$ is given by $\text{hocolim}_{p \leq q} \beta_q(f_p E)$. Hence, it suffices to show that $\beta_q(f_p E) : \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q(f_p E)) \rightarrow s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(f_p E)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ for every integer $p \leq q$.

Lemma 2.6 implies that $\beta_q(f_q E)$ is an isomorphism. We now proceed by induction, and assume that $\beta_q(f_r E)$ is an isomorphism for some $r \leq q$. It only remains to show that in this situation, $\beta_q(f_{r-1} E)$ is also an isomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{SH}_X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q(f_r E)) & \xrightarrow{\beta_q(f_r E)} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_r E)) \\ \mathbf{L}g^* s_q(\rho_{r-1}^E) \downarrow & & \downarrow s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E) \\ \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q(f_{r-1} E)) & \xrightarrow{\beta_q(f_{r-1} E)} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{r-1} E)) \end{array}$$

Since $r \leq q$, the left vertical map is an isomorphism and our induction hypothesis says that $\beta_q(f_r E)$ is also an isomorphism. Thus, it is enough to check that $s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. However, we have the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{SH}_X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_r E)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_r f_{r-1} E)) \\ s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E) \downarrow & & \downarrow s_q(\alpha_r(f_{r-1} E)) \\ s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{r-1} E)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & s_q(f_r(\mathbf{L}g^*(f_{r-1} E))) \end{array}$$

where the rows are both canonical isomorphisms and the right vertical map is also an isomorphism by lemma 2.6. Thus, $s_q \mathbf{L}g^*(\rho_{r-1}^E)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.8. *It is clear that theorem 2.7 holds for any exact functor*

$$F : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$$

which satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) *For every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F(\Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_Y^{\text{eff}}) \subseteq \Sigma_T^q \mathcal{SH}_X^{\text{eff}}$.*
- (2) *F commutes with filtered homotopy colimits.*

Interesting examples are the following:

- (1) $A \wedge^{\mathbf{L}} - : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$, where A is a cofibrant symmetric T_X -spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\text{eff}}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{L}g_\# : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_Y$, where $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map of finite type.

Lemma 2.9. *Assume that $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map of finite type. We have that for every symmetric T_Y -spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}_Y$ and for every integer $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, the condition (2.2) in lemma 2.6 holds; and as a consequence we get that the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along g in the sense of definition 2.2.*

Proof. Consider a symmetric T_Y -spectrum E in $\mathcal{SH}_Y$ and fix an integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. By theorem 2.7 it suffices to show that $\mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$.

Let $K = F_n(S^r \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^s \wedge U_+)$, where $n, r, s \geq 0$; $s - n \geq q + 1$ and $U \in Sm_X$. Since g is smooth of finite type we have that $\mathbf{L}g^* : \mathcal{SH}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_X$ has a left adjoint $\mathbf{L}g_\# : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}_Y$. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{L}g_\# K = K$ where we look at U as a smooth scheme over Y using the map g , and $\mathbb{G}_m$ as the multiplicative group over Y (see [9, proposition 1.23(2)]). Therefore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(K, \mathbf{L}g^* s_q E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(\mathbf{L}g_\# K, s_q E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(K, s_q E)$$

However, it is clear that K is in $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_Y^{eff}$ and by construction we have that $s_q E$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_Y^\perp(q+1)$. Thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_X}(K, \mathbf{L}g^* s_q E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_Y}(K, s_q E) = 0$$

and this finishes the proof since the family

$$C_{eff}^{q+1}(X) = \{F_n(S^r \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^s \wedge U_+) \mid n, r, s \geq 0; s - n \geq q + 1; U \in Sm_X\}$$

is a set of compact generators for $\Sigma_T^{q+1} \mathcal{SH}_X^{eff}$. □

3. THE CASE OF SCHEMES DEFINED OVER A FIELD WITH RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

In this section k will denote a field with resolution of singularities and X will be a separated k -scheme of finite type with structure map $g : X \rightarrow k$. Our goal is to show that the condition (2.2) of lemma 2.6 holds for every symmetric T_k -spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}_k$ and for every integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, by theorem 2.7 we have that in this situation there exists compatibility between the slice filtration and pullback along g in the sense of definition 2.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let E be an arbitrary symmetric T_k -spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}_k$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ an arbitrary integer. Then*

$$\mathbf{L}g^*(s_q E) \in \mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$$

Proof. We will proceed by Noetherian induction. Since our base field has resolution of singularities, we have the following fibre product diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} & W \\ \bar{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}U & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & W \\ h \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow p \\ U = X \setminus Y & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

where Y is a nowhere dense closed subscheme of X , p is projective, dominant and birational, W is smooth over k (with structure map $g \circ p$) and h is an isomorphism.

It follows from [1, scholium 1.4.2], [2, chapter 4] that the following diagram is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{SH}_W$, where F denotes $\mathbf{L}(g \circ p)^*(s_q E)$

$$\tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_F} F \xrightarrow{\eta_F} \mathbf{R}\tilde{i}_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{i}^*(F) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{T_W}^{1,0} \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F)$$

Now, lemma 2.9 implies that $F \cong \mathbf{L}(g \circ p)^*(s_q E)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_W^\perp(q+1)$, since $g \circ p : W \rightarrow k$ is a smooth map of finite type. By Noetherian induction, we have that $\mathbf{L}\tilde{i}^*(F) \cong \mathbf{L}(g \circ p \circ \tilde{i})^*(s_q E)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_{p^{-1}Y}^\perp(q+1)$, thus by lemma 2.5 we get that $\mathbf{R}\tilde{i}_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{i}^*(F)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_W^\perp(q+1)$. Therefore, it follows from lemma 2.4 that $\tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F)$ is also in $\mathcal{SH}_W^\perp(q+1)$.

On the other hand, lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\mathbf{R}p_* \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^!(F) \cong \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^* s_q E)$$

is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^\perp(q+1)$. But since p is projective, we have the following natural isomorphisms [1, scholium 1.4.2]

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} p_! & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{R}p_* \\ \mathbf{L}j^* \circ \mathbf{R}p_* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{R}h_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{j}^* \\ h_! \circ j^! & \xrightarrow{\cong} & j^! \circ p_! \end{array}$$

hence we get the following natural isomorphisms in $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE) \cong p_! \circ \tilde{j}_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.3) \quad \cong j_! \circ h_! \circ \tilde{j}^! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \cong j_! \circ j^! \circ p_! \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.5) \quad \cong j_! \circ j^! \circ \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{L}j^* \circ \mathbf{R}p_* \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.7) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{R}h_* \circ \mathbf{L}\tilde{j}^* \circ \mathbf{L}p^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.8) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{R}h_* \circ \mathbf{L}h^* \circ \mathbf{L}j^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.9) \quad \cong \mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{L}j^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

$$(3.10) \quad \cong j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

where (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), (3.7) follow from the natural isomorphisms mentioned in (3.1); (3.3), (3.8) follow from functoriality; (3.9) follows from the fact that h is an isomorphism and (3.6), (3.10) follow from the fact that j is an open embedding (so $j_! \circ j^!$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}j_{\#} \circ \mathbf{L}j^*$). Therefore, we have that $j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\perp}(q+1)$. On the other hand, by Noetherian induction we can assume that $\mathbf{L}i^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_Y^{\perp}(q+1)$, and using lemma 2.5 we get that $\mathbf{R}i_* \circ \mathbf{L}i^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\perp}(q+1)$.

Finally, it follows from [1, scholium 1.4.2], [2, chapter 4] that the following diagram is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE) \longrightarrow \mathbf{L}g^*s_qE \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}i_* \circ \mathbf{L}i^*(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{T_X}^{1,0} j_! \circ j^!(\mathbf{L}g^*s_qE)$$

hence, lemma 2.4 implies that $\mathbf{L}g^*(s_qE)$ is in $\mathcal{SH}_X^{\perp}(q+1)$, as we wanted. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a separated k -scheme of finite type with structure map $g : X \rightarrow k$, where k has resolution of singularities. Then the slice filtration is compatible with pullbacks along g in the sense of definition 2.2.*

Proof. It follows directly from theorem 2.7 together with proposition 3.1. $\square$

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section we assume that all our schemes are of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero.

Definition 4.1. We will denote by $\mathbf{1}_X$, $\mathbf{KH}_X$, $\mathbf{HZ}_X$, $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \in \text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ respectively the sphere spectrum, the spectrum representing Weibel's homotopy invariant K -theory [21], the spectrum representing motivic cohomology [5] and $s_0(\mathbf{1}_X)$.

Theorems 4.2 and 4.4 prove several conjectures of Voevodsky [19, conjectures 1, 7, 10, 11].

Theorem 4.2. Let X be a separated k -scheme of finite type with structure map $g : X \rightarrow k$.

- (1) The zero slice of the sphere spectrum, $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k)$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$.
- (2) The zero slice of the sphere spectrum, $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ is a commutative ring spectrum in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ and a cofibrant ring spectrum in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$.
- (3) For every integer q , we have that $s_q(\mathbf{KH}_X)$ is isomorphic to $\Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$.
- (4) If we consider rational coefficients and X is geometrically unibranch then $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $s_q(\mathbf{KH}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are respectively isomorphic in $\mathcal{SH}_X$ to $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, $\Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. (1): It is clear that $\mathbf{1}_X \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{1}_k)$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. Therefore, by theorem 3.2 we have the following natural isomorphisms in $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$s_0(\mathbf{1}_X) \cong s_0(\mathbf{L}g^*\mathbf{1}_k) \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(s_0\mathbf{1}_k)$$

Finally, the result follows from the work of Levine [8, theorem 10.5.1] and Voevodsky [20, theorem 6.6], which implies that the unit map $u : \mathbf{1}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_k$ induces the following isomorphisms in $\mathcal{SH}_k$

$$s_0(u) : s_0\mathbf{1}_k \rightarrow s_0\mathbf{HZ}_k \cong \mathbf{HZ}_k$$

(2): We have that $\mathbf{HZ}_k$ is a commutative ring spectrum in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ (see [5, lemma 4.6]). Moreover, using [17, theorem 4.1(3)] together with [12, theorem A.38] and [7, proposition 4.19], we get a weak equivalence

$$w : \mathbf{HZ}_k^c \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_k$$

in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that $\mathbf{HZ}_k^c$ is a cofibrant ring spectrum in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. On the other hand, proposition A.47 in [12] implies that

$$g^* : \text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_k) \rightarrow \text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$$

is a strict symmetric monoidal left Quillen functor. Therefore, $g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k^c)$ is a cofibrant ring spectrum in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k)$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. Thus, the result follows from (1) above.

(3): It follows from [18, section 6.2] (see also [3, theorem 2.15 and proposition 3.8]) that $\mathbf{KH}_X = \mathbf{L}g^*(\mathbf{KH}_k)$. Now, using theorem 3.2 we get the following natural isomorphisms in $\mathcal{SH}_X$

$$s_q \mathbf{KH}_X \cong s_q(\mathbf{L}g^*\mathbf{KH}_k) \cong \mathbf{L}g^*(s_q \mathbf{KH}_k)$$

Finally, the work of Levine [8, theorems 6.4.2 and 9.0.3] implies that $s_q \mathbf{KH}_k$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{SH}_k$ to $\Sigma_{T_k}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_k$. Thus

$$s_q \mathbf{KH}_X \cong \mathbf{Lg}^*(s_q \mathbf{KH}_k) \cong \mathbf{Lg}^*(\Sigma_{T_k}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_k) \cong \Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{Lg}^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k) \cong \Sigma_{T_X}^{q,q} \mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$$

as we wanted.

(4): The work of Cisinski and Déglise [4, corollary 15.1.6(2)] implies that under these conditions $\mathbf{Lg}^*(\mathbf{HZ}_k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$. Therefore, the result follows from (1) and (3) above. $\square$

Remark 4.3. We may consider theorem 4.2 as an extension of the computation of Levine [8, theorems 6.4.2 and 9.0.3] from fields to schemes of finite type, however notice that we need to assume that our base scheme is defined over a field of characteristic zero whereas [8] holds over perfect fields.

Similarly, we may consider theorem 4.2 as an extension of the computation of Voevodsky [20, theorem 6.6] and Levine [8, theorem 10.5.1], but [8] also holds over perfect fields whereas we need to assume that our base scheme is defined over a field of characteristic zero.

Theorem 4.4. Let E be an arbitrary symmetric T_X -spectrum in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ an arbitrary integer.

- (1) The q -slice of E , $s_q(E)$ has a natural structure of $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ -module in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$.
- (2) If we consider rational coefficients and X is geometrically unibranch then $s_q(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ has a natural structure of $\mathbf{HZ}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -module in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$, in particular $s_q(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ has transfers.

Proof. By construction, $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ is cellular [6] and the spectra $F_n(S^r \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^s \wedge U_+)$ are all cofibrant in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ for every $U \in \text{Sm}_X$ and integers $n, r, s \geq 0$ (see [12, lemma A.10]).

Therefore, [14, theorem 2.1] and [13, lemma 3.6.21(3) and theorem 3.6.20] hold in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$. Then, the result follows directly from theorem 4.2. $\square$

Definition 4.5. Let $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}\text{-mod}$ be the category of left $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ -modules in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ equipped with the model structure induced by the adjunction

$$(\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \wedge -, U, \varphi) : \text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}\text{-mod}$$

i.e. a map f in $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}\text{-mod}$ is a fibration or a weak equivalence if and only if Uf is a fibration or a weak equivalence in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$. We will denote by DM_X^{sf} the homotopy category of $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}\text{-mod}$, which is triangulated.

Theorem 4.6. The 2-functor $X \mapsto DM_X^{\text{sf}}$ has the structure of a motivic category in the sense of Cisinski and Déglise [4], and the adjunction

$$(\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \wedge^{\mathbf{L}} -, \mathbf{RU}, \varphi) : \mathcal{SH}_X \rightarrow DM_X^{\text{sf}}$$

is a morphism of motivic categories $\mathcal{SH} \rightarrow DM^{\text{sf}}$ in the category of separated k -schemes of finite type.

In particular, $X \mapsto DM_X^{\text{sf}}$ is a homotopic stable 2-functor in the sense of Ayoub and is equipped with the formalism of the six operations [1, scholium 1.4.2].

Proof. Theorem 4.2(1)-(2) implies that $X \mapsto \mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ is a family of strict cofibrant ring spectra in $\text{Spt}(\mathcal{M}_X)$ which is stable under pullback in the category of separated k -schemes of finite type. Hence the result follows immediately from propositions 4.2.11, 4.2.16 and corollary 2.4.9 in [4]. $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *If our base scheme X is a field, then DM_X^{sf} is naturally equivalent as a triangulated tensor category to Voevodsky's big category of motives DM_X .*

Therefore, the 2-functor $X \mapsto DM_X^{\text{sf}}$ provides a natural framework for a theory of mixed motives in the category of separated k -schemes of finite type.

Proof. By construction, DM_X^{sf} is the homotopy category of $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ -modules in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$, where $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ is the zero slice of the sphere spectrum $s_0(\mathbf{1}_X)$. On the other hand, it follows from [8, theorem 10.5.1], [20, theorem 6.6] that the unit map $u : \mathbf{1}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_X$ induces a weak equivalence $s_0(u) : \mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}_X$.

Thus, by [13, proposition 2.8.5] and [12, theorem A.38] we have that DM_X^{sf} is naturally equivalent as a triangulated tensor category to the homotopy category of $\mathbf{HZ}_X$ -modules in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$. Finally, it follows from [16, theorem 1] that Voevodsky's category of motives DM_X is naturally equivalent as a triangulated tensor category to the homotopy category of $\mathbf{HZ}_X$ -modules in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$. $\square$

Let $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X} \in Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ denote the Beilinson motivic cohomology spectrum introduced by Cisinski and Déglise [4, definition 13.1.2]. It follows in particular from Corollary 13.2.6 in [4] that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$ is a commutative cofibrant ring spectrum in $Spt(\mathcal{M}_X)$ which is stable under pullback in the category of separated schemes of finite type over k .

Theorem 4.8. *The Beilinson motivic cohomology spectrum $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$, thus the homotopy category of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$ -modules $\text{Ho}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X})$ is equivalent to the homotopy category of left $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}}$ -modules with rational coefficients.*

Hence, we get that modulo torsion $\text{Ho}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X})$ and DM_X^{sf} are equivalent as triangulated tensor categories.

Proof. By [13, proposition 2.8.5] and [12, theorem A.38] we have that it suffices to prove that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathcal{SH}_X$.

It follows from theorem 4.2(1) that $\mathbf{HZ}_X^{\text{sf}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is stable under pullback in the category of separated schemes of finite type over k , on the other hand corollary 13.2.6 in [4] implies in particular that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},X}$ is also stable under pullback. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},k}$ and $\mathbf{HZ}_k^{\text{sf}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{SH}_k$ for the base field k .

However, corollary 15.1.6(1) in [4] implies that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B},k}$ and $\mathbf{HZ}_k \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are naturally isomorphic in $\mathcal{SH}_k$, and finally it follows from theorem 4.2(1) that $\mathbf{HZ}_k \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbf{HZ}_k^{\text{sf}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are also naturally isomorphic in $\mathcal{SH}_k$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Denis-Charles Cisinski for several conversations and suggestions which led to some of the arguments in sections 3 and 4, Marc Levine for pointing out several errors in a previous version of this note and Frédéric Déglise for suggesting this problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. I. *Astérisque*, (314):x+466 pp. (2008), 2007.
- [2] J. Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. II. *Astérisque*, (315):vi+364 pp. (2008), 2007.
- [3] D.-C. Cisinski. Descente propre en k -théorie invariante par homotopie. *preprint*, 2010.
- [4] D.-C. Cisinski and F. Déglise. Triangulated categories of mixed motives. *preprint*, 2009.
- [5] B. I. Dundas, O. Röndigs, and P. A. Østvær. Motivic functors. *Doc. Math.*, 8:489–525 (electronic), 2003.
- [6] P. S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [7] J. F. Jardine. Motivic symmetric spectra. *Doc. Math.*, 5:445–553 (electronic), 2000.
- [8] M. Levine. The homotopy coniveau tower. *J. Topol.*, 1(1):217–267, 2008.
- [9] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky. $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999.
- [10] A. Neeman. The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield’s techniques and Brown representability. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1):205–236, 1996.
- [11] A. Neeman. *Triangulated categories*, volume 148 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [12] I. Panin, K. Pimenov, and O. Röndigs. On Voevodsky’s algebraic K -theory spectrum *BGL*. *preprint*, 2007.
- [13] P. Pelaez. Multiplicative properties of the slice filtration. *preprint*, 2008.
- [14] P. Pelaez. Mixed motives and the slice filtration. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, (347):541–544, 2009.
- [15] D. G. Quillen. *Homotopical algebra*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [16] O. Röndigs and P. A. Østvær. Modules over motivic cohomology. *Adv. Math.*, 219(2):689–727, 2008.
- [17] S. Schwede and B. E. Shipley. Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 80(2):491–511, 2000.
- [18] V. Voevodsky. $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998)*, number Extra Vol. I, pages 579–604 (electronic), 1998.
- [19] V. Voevodsky. Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I. In *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998)*, volume 3 of *Int. Press Lect. Ser.*, pages 3–34. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
- [20] V. Voevodsky. On the zero slice of the sphere spectrum. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 246(Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh.):106–115, 2004.
- [21] C. A. Weibel. Homotopy algebraic K -theory. In *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987)*, volume 83 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 461–488. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: pablo.pelaez@uni-due.de